arXiv:1112.0410v1 [math.CO] 2 Dec 2011

The Terwilliger algebra of Odd graphs

Qian Kong Benjian Lv Kaishun Wang*

Sch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

Abstract

In [The Terwilliger algebra of the Johnson schemes, Discrete Mathematics 307 (2007) 1621–1635], Levstein and Maldonado computed the Terwilliger algebra of the Johnson scheme $J(n,m)$ when $3m \leq n$. The distance-m graph of $J(2m+1,m)$ is the Odd graph O_{m+1}. In this paper, we determine the Terwilliger algebra of O_{m+1} and give its basis.

AMS classification: 05E30

Key words: Terwilliger algebra; Odd graph

1 Introduction

Suppose $\Gamma = (X,R)$ denotes a simple connected graph with diameter D. For each $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,D\}$, let $\Gamma_i(x) = \{y \in X \mid \partial(x,y) = i\}$, where $\partial(x,y)$ is the distance between x and y. Define $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ to be the diagonal matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ with yy-entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y \in \Gamma_i(x), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *Terwilliger algebra* $\mathcal{T}(x)$ of Γ with respect to a given vertex x is the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the adjacency matrix A of Γ and $E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_D^*$.

Terwilliger [10] initiated the study of the Terwilliger algebra of association schemes, which has been used to study (almost) bipartite P- and Q-polynomial association schemes [2, 3], 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs [4], Hypercubes [5], Hamming graphs [7], Johnson graphs [8], incidence graphs of Johnson geometry [6] and so on.

Let Ω be a set of cardinality $2m+1$ and let $\binom{\Omega}{i}$ denote the set of all i-subsets of Ω. The Odd graph O_{m+1} is the graph whose vertex set is the set $X = \binom{\Omega}{m}$, where two vertices are adjacent if they are disjoint. Levstein and Maldonado [8] determined the Terwilliger algebra of the Johnson graph $J(n,m)$ when $3m \leq n$. Observe O_{m+1} is the distance-m graph of the Johnson graph $J(2m+1,m)$, and they have the same Terwilliger algebra. In this paper we shall determine the Terwilliger algebra of O_{m+1} (Theorem 3.5), give one of its bases (Proposition 3.6) and compute its dimension (Corollary 3.7).

---

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: kongqian@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Qian Kong); benjian@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Benjian Lv); wangks@bnu.edu.cn (Kaishun Wang)

2 Intersection matrix

In this section we first introduce the intersection matrix, then discover the relationship between the adjacency matrix of the Odd graph O_{m+1} and the intersection matrices.

Since O_{m+1} is distance-transitive with diameter m (cf. [1]), the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{T}(x)$ is independent of the choice of x, denoted by $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}(x)$.

Let V be a set of cardinality v. Let $H_{i,j}^{l}(v)$ be a binary matrix with rows indexed by $\binom{V}{i}$ and columns indexed by $\binom{V}{j}$, whose yz-entry is defined by

$$(H_{i,j}^{l}(v))_{yz} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |y \cap z| = l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This matrix is a class of *intersection matrices*. Observe that $H_{i,j}^{l}(v) \neq 0$ if and only if $\max(0, i+j-v) \leq l \leq \min(i,j)$. We adopt the convention that $H_{i,j}^{l}(v) = 0$ for any integer l such that $l < 0$ or $l > \min(i,j)$. From [9, Proposition 4], we have

$$H_{i,j}^{l}(v) H_{j,k}^{s}(v) = \sum_{g=0}^{\min(i,k)} \sum_{h=0}^{g} \binom{g}{h} \binom{i-g}{l-h} \binom{k-g}{s-h} \binom{v+g-i-k}{j+h-l-s} H_{i,k}^{g}(v). \tag{1}$$

In particular,

$$H_{i,j}^{l}(v) H_{j,k}^{0}(v) = \sum_{s=\max(0,i+j+k-l-v)}^{\min(i-l,k)} \binom{i-s}{l} \binom{v+s-i-k}{j-l} H_{i,k}^{s}(v). \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2.1 *Let Γ be the Odd graph O_{m+1} with the adjacency matrix A, and let $A_{i,j}$ be the submatrix of A with rows indexed by $\Gamma_i(x)$ and columns indexed by $\Gamma_j(x)$. Then*

$$A_{i,j} = 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq j \leq m,\ i \neq j-1 \text{ or } i = j \neq m), \tag{3}$$

$$A_{2i,2i+1} = H_{m-i,i}^{0}(m) \otimes H_{i,m-i}^{0}(m+1) \quad (0 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1), \tag{4}$$

$$A_{2i+1,2i+2} = H_{i,m-i-1}^{0}(m) \otimes H_{m-i,i+1}^{0}(m+1) \quad (0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1), \tag{5}$$

$$A_{m,m} = H_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}^{0}(m) \otimes H_{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil, \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}^{0}(m+1), \tag{6}$$

where "$\otimes$" denotes the Kronecker product of matrices.

Proof. Since O_{m+1} is almost bipartite, (3) is directed.

Pick $y \in \Gamma_{2i}(x)$, $z \in \Gamma_{2i+1}(x)$. Note that $\partial(x,y) = 2i$ if and only if $|x \cap y| = m-i$; $\partial(x,z) = 2i+1$ if and only if $|x \cap z| = i$. Then $|x \cap y| = m-i$ and $|x \cap z| = i$. Suppose $y = \alpha_{m-i}\beta_i := \alpha_{m-i} \cup \beta_i$, $z = \alpha'_i \beta'_{m-i}$, where $\alpha_{m-i} \in \binom{x}{m-i}$ and $\beta_i \in \binom{\Omega \setminus x}{i}$, while $\alpha'_i \in \binom{x}{i}$ and $\beta'_{m-i} \in \binom{\Omega \setminus x}{m-i}$. Then

$$(A_{2i,2i+1})_{yz} = (H_{m-i,i}^{0}(m) \otimes H_{i,m-i}^{0}(m+1))_{yz} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha_{m-i} \cap \alpha'_i = \emptyset \text{ and } \beta_i \cap \beta'_{m-i} = \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which leads to (4).

Similarly, (5) (6) hold. □

3 The Terwilliger algebra

In this section we fix $x \in \binom{\Omega}{m}$, then consider the Terwilliger algebra $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$ of O_{m+1}.

For $0 \leq i, j \leq m$, any matrix M indexed by elements in $\Gamma_i(x) \times \Gamma_j(x)$ can be embedded into $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$L(M)_{\Gamma_p(x)\times\Gamma_q(x)} = \begin{cases} M, & \text{if } p = i \text{ and } q = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Write $G_{i,j}(v) = \{g \mid \max(0, i + j - v) \leq g \leq \min(i, j)\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{p,q=0}^{m} L(\mathcal{M}_{p,q}), \tag{7}$$

where $L(\mathcal{M}_{p,q}) = \{L(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}\}$, and

$$\mathcal{M}_{2i,2j} = \mathrm{Span}\{H^l_{m-i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^s_{i,j}(m+1),\ l \in G_{m-i,m-j}(m),\ s \in G_{i,j}(m+1)\}, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2i,2j+1} = \mathrm{Span}\{H^l_{m-i,j}(m) \otimes H^s_{i,m-j}(m+1),\ l \in G_{m-i,j}(m),\ s \in G_{i,m-j}(m+1)\}, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2i+1,2j} = \mathrm{Span}\{H^l_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^s_{m-i,j}(m+1),\ l \in G_{i,m-j}(m),\ s \in G_{m-i,j}(m+1)\}, \tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2i+1,2j+1} = \mathrm{Span}\{H^l_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^s_{m-i,m-j}(m+1),\ l \in G_{i,j}(m),\ s \in G_{m-i,m-j}(m+1)\}. \tag{11}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}$ is a vector space. By (1) we have $\mathcal{M}$ is an algebra. Next we shall prove $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.1 *The Terwilliger algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we have $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Since

$$E^*_{2i} = L(H^{m-i}_{m-i,m-i}(m) \otimes H^i_{i,i}(m+1)) \in \mathcal{M}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor,$$

$$E^*_{2i+1} = L(H^i_{i,i}(m) \otimes H^{m-i}_{m-i,m-i}(m+1)) \in \mathcal{M}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1,$$

we have $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. □

For $0 \leq i, j \leq m$, let $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{M_{i,j} \mid M \in \mathcal{T}\}$, where $M_{i,j}$ is the submatrix of M with rows indexed by $\Gamma_i(x)$ and columns indexed by $\Gamma_j(x)$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is an algebra, each $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ is a linear space. From $\mathcal{T}E^*_j\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ we obtain $(\mathcal{T}E^*_j\mathcal{T})_{i,k} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{i,k}$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{T}_{i,j}\mathcal{T}_{j,k} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{i,k}. \tag{12}$$

From A, $E^*_i \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $AE^*_{i_2}AE^*_{i_3}\cdots AE^*_{i_{n-1}}A \in \mathcal{T}$, which follows that

$$A_{i_1,i_2}A_{i_2,i_3}\cdots A_{i_{n-2},i_{n-1}}A_{i_{n-1},i_n} \in \mathcal{T}_{i_1,i_n}. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 3.2 *For $i \leq j \leq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1$ and $0 \leq l \leq i$, we have*

$$H^l_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^{m-j}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}.$$

Proof. We use induction on l.

By (13), for $i < j$ we have $A_{2i+1,2i+2}A_{2i+2,2i+3}\cdots A_{2j,2j+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}$, which yields that

$$H^{i}_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^{m-j}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}. \tag{14}$$

When $i = j$ we pick $I_{\binom{m}{i}} \otimes I_{\binom{m+1}{m-i}} \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}$, which also satisfies (14).

Assume that $H^{g}_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^{m-j}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}$ for $g \geq l$. By (12) and (13), for $2j+1 < m$ we obtain

$$(H^{l}_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^{m-j}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1))A_{2j+1,2j+2}A_{2j+2,2j+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}\mathcal{T}_{2j+1,2j+1} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1},$$

and for $2j+1 = m$ we have

$$(H^{l}_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^{m-j}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1))A^{2}_{2j+1,2j+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}.$$

Then we get

$$(a_1 H^{l-1}_{i,j}(m) + a_2 H^{l}_{i,j}(m) + a_3 H^{l+1}_{i,j}(m)) \otimes H^{m-j}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1},$$

where a_1, a_2 and a_3 are some positive integers. It follows that $H^{l-1}_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^{m-j}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}$. Hence the conclusion is obtained by induction. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *For $i+1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ and $0 \leq l \leq i$, we have*

$$H^{l}_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{j-i-1}_{m-i,j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}.$$

Proof. By (13) we have $A_{2i+1,2i+2}A_{2i+2,2i+3}\cdots A_{2j-1,2j} \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}$ for $i+1 \leq j$, i.e.,

$$H^{0}_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{j-i-1}_{m-i,j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}.$$

Assume that $H^{g}_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{j-i-1}_{m-i,j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}$ for $g \leq l$. Then by (12) and (13), we obtain

$$(H^{l}_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{j-i-1}_{m-i,j}(m+1))A_{2j,2j-1}A_{2j-1,2j} \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j},$$

which gives

$$(b_1 H^{l-1}_{i,m-j}(m) + b_2 H^{l}_{i,m-j}(m) + b_3 H^{l+1}_{i,m-j}(m)) \otimes H^{j-i-1}_{m-i,j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j},$$

where b_1, b_2 and b_3 are some positive integers. Thus $H^{l+1}_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{j-i-1}_{m-i,j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}$ and the conclusion is valid by induction. $\square$

Lemma 3.4 *The algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. In order to prove this result, we only need to show that $\mathcal{M}_{p,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ for $0 \leq p, q \leq m$. Write $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{t}}_{p,q} = \{M^{\mathrm{t}} \mid M \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}\}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{t}}_{p,q} = \{M^{\mathrm{t}} \mid M \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}\}$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{q,p} = \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{t}}_{p,q}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{q,p} = \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{t}}_{p,q}$, it suffices to prove $\mathcal{M}_{p,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ for $p \leq q$. We use induction on p.

Step 1. Show $\mathcal{M}_{0,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{0,q}$ $(0 \leq q \leq m)$.

According to (8) (9), we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,2j} = \mathrm{Span}\{H^{m-j}_{m,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{0}_{0,j}(m+1)\} \quad (0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor),$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,2j+1} = \mathrm{Span}\{H^{j}_{m,j}(m) \otimes H^{0}_{0,m-j}(m+1)\} \quad (0 \le j \le \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (2), we have

$$A_{0,1}A_{1,2}\cdots A_{2j-1,2j} = c_1 H^{m-j}_{m,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{0}_{0,j}(m+1)$$

and

$$A_{0,1}A_{1,2}\cdots A_{2j,2j+1} = c_2 H^{j}_{m,j}(m) \otimes H^{0}_{0,m-j}(m+1),$$

where c_1, c_2 are some positive integers. Then by (13) we have $\mathcal{M}_{0,2j} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{0,2j}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0,2j+1} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{0,2j+1}$.

Step 2. Assume that $\mathcal{M}_{p,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ for $p \le 2i$. We will show that $\mathcal{M}_{2i+1,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,q}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2i+2,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+2,q}$.

Step 2.1. Show $\mathcal{M}_{2i+1,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,q}$ $(2i+1 \le q \le m)$.

Case 1. $q = 2j+1$ $(i \le j \le \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1)$.

By inductive hypothesis we have

$$H^{l}_{m-i,j}(m) \otimes H^{s}_{i,m-j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{M}_{2i,2j+1} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i,2j+1}, \quad l \in G_{m-i,j}(m),\ s \in G_{i,m-j}(m+1).$$

Since $A_{2i+1,2i} = A^{\mathrm{t}}_{2i,2i+1} = H^{0}_{i,m-i}(m) \otimes H^{0}_{m-i,i}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2i}$, by (12) we have

$$(H^{0}_{i,m-i}(m) \otimes H^{0}_{m-i,i}(m+1))(H^{l}_{m-i,j}(m) \otimes H^{s}_{i,m-j}(m+1)) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2i}\mathcal{T}_{2i,2j+1} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}.$$

From (2) we obtain

$$H^{j-l}_{i,j}(m) \otimes ((s+1)H^{m-j-s-1}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1) + (i-s+1)H^{m-j-s}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1)) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}. \qquad (15)$$

Since $l \in G_{m-i,j}(m)$ and $s \in G_{i,m-j}(m+1)$, from (15) and Lemma 3.2 we get

$$H^{l'}_{i,j}(m) \otimes H^{s'}_{m-i,m-j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}, \quad l' \in G_{i,j}(m),\ s' \in G_{m-i,m-j}(m+1),$$

which implies $\mathcal{M}_{2i+1,2j+1} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j+1}$.

Case 2. $q = 2j$ $(i+1 \le j \le \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor)$.

By inductive hypothesis, we have

$$H^{l}_{m-i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{s}_{i,j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{M}_{2i,2j} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i,2j}, \quad l \in G_{m-i,m-j}(m),\ s \in G_{i,j}(m+1).$$

Thus by (13) we obtain

$$A_{2i+1,2i}(H^{l}_{m-i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{s}_{i,j}(m+1)) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2i}\mathcal{T}_{2i,2j} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}.$$

From (2), we have

$$H^{m-j-l}_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes ((s+1)H^{j-s-1}_{m-i,j}(m+1) + (i-s+1)H^{j-s}_{m-i,j}(m+1)) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}. \qquad (16)$$

Since $l \in G_{m-i,m-j}(m)$ and $s \in G_{i,j}(m+1)$, by (16) and Lemma 3.3 we have

$$H^{l''}_{i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^{s''}_{m-i,j}(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}, \quad l'' \in G_{i,m-j}(m),\ s'' \in G_{m-i,j}(m+1),$$

which yields $\mathcal{M}_{2i+1,2j} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+1,2j}$.

Step 2.2. Show $\mathcal{M}_{2i+2,q} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2i+2,q}$ $(2i+2 \le q \le m)$.

The proof of this step is similar to that of Step 2.1 and we omit it here.

Hence the desired result follows by induction. □

Theorem 3.5 *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the Terwilliger algebra of the Odd graph O_{m+1} and $\mathcal{M}$ be the algebra defined in (7). Then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, the desired result follows. $\square$

Since the generating matrices of each vector space in (8)-(11) are linearly independent, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.6 *The Terwilliger algebra $\mathcal{T}$ of the Odd graph O_{m+1} has a basis:*

$$\{L(H^l_{m-i,m-j}(m) \otimes H^s_{i,j}(m+1)),\ l \in G_{m-i,m-j}(m),\ s \in G_{i,j}(m+1)\}^m_{i,j=0}.$$

Corollary 3.7 *The dimension of $\mathcal{T}$ is $\binom{m+4}{4}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim \mathcal{T} \\ = & \sum_{i,j=0}^{m} |G_{m-i,m-j}(m)||G_{i,j}(m+1)| \\ = & \sum_{i,j=0}^{m} (\min(m-i, m-j) - \max(0, m-i-j) + 1)(\min(i,j) - \max(0, i+j-m-1) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By zigzag calculation, the desired result follows. $\square$

Acknowledgement

This research is partially supported by NSF of China, NCET-08-0052, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

References

[1] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Sringer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.

[2] J.S. Caughman IV, The Terwilliger algebra for bipartite P- and Q-polynomial association schemes, Discrete Math. 196 (1999) 65–95.

[3] J.S. Caughman, M.S. MacLean, P. Terwilliger, The Terwilliger algebra of an almost-bipartite P- and Q-polynomial association scheme, Discrete Math. 292 (2005) 17–44.

[4] B. Curtin, The Terwilliger algebra of a 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 81 (2001) 125–141.

[5] J. Go, The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube, Europ. J. Combin. 23 (2002) 399–429.

[6] Q. Kong, B. Lv and K. Wang, The Terwilliger algebra of the incidence graph of Johnson geometry, arXiv: 1111.1369 [math.CO].

[7] F. Levstein, C. Maldonado, D. Penazzi, The Terwilliger algebra of a Hamming scheme $H(d,q)$, Europ. J. Combin. 27 (2006) 1–10.

[8] F. Levstein, C. Maldonado, The Terwilliger algebra of the Johnson schemes, Discrete Mathematics 307 (2007) 1621–1635.

[9] M. Mohammad-Noori, N. Ghareghani, E. Ghorbani, Intersection matrices and the Johnson scheme, arXiv: 0902.4367v3 [math.CO].

[10] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I, J. Algebr. Comb. 1 (1992) 363–388; The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme II, J. Algebr. Comb. 2 (1993) 73–103; The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III, J. Algebr. Comb. 2 (1993) 177–210.